	Case 2:23-cv-00666-DAD-EFB Docur	ment 34	Filed 01/27/25	Page 1 of 2
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	RONALD S. MILLER,	No	o. 2:23-cv-00666-D	OAD-EFB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,			
13	v.	FI	NDINGS AND RE	COMMENDATIONS
14	EL DORADO COUNTY JAIL,			
15	Defendant.			
16				
17	Plaintiff proceeds without counsel in an action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This			
18	proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 302 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).			
19	On August 7, 2023, the court screened plaintiff's first amended complaint pursuant to 28			
20	U.S.C. § 1915A. ECF No. 24. The court dismissed the complaint for failure to state a claim and			
21	granted plaintiff thirty days in which to file an amended complaint to cure the deficiencies. <i>Id.</i>			
22	The screening order warned plaintiff that failure to comply could result in a recommendation that			
23	this action be dismissed.			
24	Plaintiff failed to file the amended complaint within the time provided, and the court			
25	accordingly dismissed the action and entered judgment. ECCF Nos. 27, 28. Plaintiff sought			
26	relief from the dismissal on the grounds of excusable neglect, which the court granted on			
27	December 3, 2024. ECF Nos. 30, 32, 33. The court again provided plaintiff with 30 days to file			
28	an amended complaint or to notify the court of his intent not to do so. ECF No. 33.			
		1		

Case 2:23-cv-00666-DAD-EFB Document 34 Filed 01/27/25 Page 2 of 2

The time for acting has now passed and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order. Thus, it appears that plaintiff is unable or unwilling to cure the defects in the complaint.

Accordingly, it is RECOMMENDED that this action be DISMISSED without prejudice for failure to state a claim.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. *Turner v. Duncan*, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Dated: January 27, 2025